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Introduction
- Asset-based measures of wealth are used to
  - Monitor health equity
  - Track economic inequality
  - Develop policy
- Many measures exist with differing assumptions
- No systematic comparisons of reliability and validity
- We assess three proposed measures (AWE, CWI, IWI)

Three methods of measuring wealth
All assume assets (e.g., TV, car, cell phone) and access to services (e.g., electricity) proxy economic well-being

- International Wealth Index (IWI)
  - Ranks household by universal indicators [2]. Scaled from 0-100.
- Comparative Wealth Index (CWI)
  - Context-specific weights for assets scaled by universal basic needs [3].
- Absolute Wealth Estimate (AWE)
  - Fully context-specific weights for assets. Scaled to international dollar value [4].

Methods
- Demographic Health Surveys from 84 countries [1]
  - 4.4M households
  - 282K women (40-49 y)
  - 405K children (12-35 m)
- Calculated AWE, CWI, and IWI for each household
- Assessed correlations between measures
- Mixed effect linear regressions examine associations with women’s BMI and children’s height-for-age z-scores

Questions
1. How closely are AWE, CWI, IWI correlated?
2. How well do they predict two measures of health?
   - child height-for-age
   - adult women’s BMI

Results
1) Three measures highly correlated (r=0.8 to 0.9)
2) IWI best accounts for variance both within and between countries for child height-for-age and adult women’s BMI.

Discussion
- Measure with universal asset weights (IWI) best accounts for variance in women’s BMI and child height-for-age

Future work
1. Why do context-sensitive measures perform worse?
2. Which measures are most sensitive to extreme poverty?
3. Assess additional measures - poverty scorecards - multidimensional poverty index - agricultural wealth index
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